UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/582,886	06/14/2006	Atsushi Iisaka	2006-0943A	6769
52349 7590 03/25/2009 WENDEROTH, LIND & PONACK L.L.P. 1030 15th Street, N.W.			EXAMINER	
			SNYDER, ADAM J	
Suite 400 East Washington, DC 20005-1503			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2629	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/25/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/582,886	IISAKA ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Adam J. Snyder	2629			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	l. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 July This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-3 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrav 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-3 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 14 June 2006 is/are: a)	r election requirement. r.	by the Evaminer			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the on Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 06/14/2006.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	te			

Application/Control Number: 10/582,886 Page 2

Art Unit: 2629

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

1. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Specification

2. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Nmngani (US 5,541,621).
 - **Claim 1**, Nmngani (Fig. 1(c), 2, and 3) discloses a track ball device, comprising: a ball (20);

at least one non-contact IC chip built in the ball (38 and 44; Col. 6, Lines 13-22; Col. 6, Lines 6-44; wherein sensors determine x and y movement which is transmitted through transmitter with in ball); and

a reader for reading information stored in the non-contact IC chip (Fig. 5(a-b); wherein computer receives wireless transmission of x and y movements through interface card);

wherein the information includes information on a feature of a surface of the ball (Col. 6, Lines 13-22; wherein sensors information includes features on how surface of trackball is move in both the x and y directions).

Claim 2, Nmngani (Fig. 1(c), 2, and 3) discloses wherein the non-contact IC chip stores information capable of specifying a position of the non-contact IC chip itself (38 and 44; Col. 6, Lines 13-22; wherein sensors store movements in x and y directions which and is then transmitted wirelessly to computer).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nmngani (US 5,541,621) in view of Julienne (US 6,529,184 B1).
 - Claim 3, Nmngani discloses a track ball device according to claim 1.

Nmngani does not expressly disclose wherein the information on the feature of the surface of the ball represents a color.

Julienne (Fig. 16, 19, and 20) discloses wherein the information on the feature of the surface of the ball represents a color (Col. 7, Lines 28-51; wherein information to sent to computer uses color to help determine movement of track ball).

At the time of the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify Nmngani's trackball device by applying surface color, as taught by Julienne, so to use a trackball device with a surface color for providing a ball for use in a computer input device with an optically trackable spherical surface, by printing on the ball's spherical surface an ordered surface pattern area having the unique characteristics just described (Col. 2, Lines 33-42).

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Prior Art White et al (US 2002/0075233 A1) discloses in Figure 4 a trackball that uses sensor along the surface for determining movement of internal liquid.

Prior Art Nippoldt (US 4,952,919) discloses in Figure 1 a mouse with a trackball located on the top and multiple buttons along the front (similar idea used in figure 2 of applicant's structure).

Priot Art Dietrich et al (US 6,583,783 B1) discloses in Figure 3 an input device that has IC circuitry inside used to determine movement in X, Y, and Z.

Prior Art Kataoka et al (US 6,636,200 B2) discloses in Figures 4-6 an ergonomically designed input device (similar idea used in figure 2 of applicant's invention).

Further disclosed in Figures 10-13 shows device used in automobile for control of different applications (similar idea used in figures 1 and 6 of applicant's invention).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Adam J. Snyder whose telephone number is (571) 270-3460. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:30am-5pm) EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chanh Nguyen can be reached on (571) 272-7772. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/AJS/ Examiner, Art Unit 2629 03/20/2009 /CHANH NGUYEN/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2629 Application/Control Number: 10/582,886

Page 6

Art Unit: 2629